

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

٠.	COMMISSIONER CONTRACTOR
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/604,002	06/26/2000	Yuzuru Fukuda	046601-5052	2629	
9629	7590 07/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			TRAN,	TRAN, LY T	
	YLVANIA AVENUE NW ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2853		
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/604,002	FUKUDA, YUZURU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Ly T. TRAN	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	Filed 6/7/05.	·				
•	s action is non-final.					
,						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:						

Application/Control Number: 09/604,002

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/7/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohshima (USPN 6,348,519).

With respect to claim 1, Ohshima et al discloses a recording for ink printer comprising:

- Colorants (Column 3: line 51)
- Water (Column 3: line 64)

- Fine particles of at least one photo-curable resin (Column 5: line 55-56) and at least two non-photo-curable resins (Column 4: line 66-67 shows one non-photo-curable resin and Column 6: line 37-41 shows another non-photo-curable resin)
- Wherein at least one of the non-photo-curable resins is a self cross linking resin (Column 4: line 66-67);
- The content of the photo-curable resin relative to the total weight of the non-photo-curable resin and the photo-curable-resin is from about 10 to about 80% (Column 5: line 56-60).

Ohshima discloses the claimed invention except for the total solids content of the resin particles ranges between 20-80%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the total solids content of the resin particles ranges between 20-80%, since it has been held that the general condition of a claim are discloses in the prior art, discovering the optimum range involves only routine skill in the art.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohshima et al (USPN 6,348,519) in view of Mathias (USPN 5,513,569).

Ohshima discloses the claimed invention except that using screen printing instead of inkjet printing. Mathias shows that screen printing and inkjet printing is an equivalent structure known in the art (Column 6: line 58-67). Therefore, because screen printing and inkjet printing were art recognized equivalents at the time the

invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute inkjet printing for screen printing for the same purpose as printing an image.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that Ohshima does not teach at least two non-photo-curable resin is not persuasive because refer to Column 4: line 66-67 shows one non-photo-curable resin and Column 6: line 37-41 shows another non-photo-curable resin.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/604,002

Art Unit: 2853

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LT

July 14, 2005

Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner